

Article Review Form

Sri Lanka Journal of Agrarian Studies

Title of the Article:

Name of the Reviewer:

Designation ...

Affiliation...

.....

1. Please evaluate the manuscript based on the following scale & criteria:

1 = Poor	2 = Below average	3 = Average
4 = Good	5 = Excellent	

Please rate the following features of the academic article by assigning the respective code					
					Excellent
A.	Poor				
A. The title is relevant, timely appropriate and corresponds to the contents of the article and objectives of the study	1	2	3	4	5
B. Abstract contains the essence of the study, clearly and effectively presents a summary of the study (the background, objectives, methodology, findings, recommendations)	1	2	3	4	5
C. The methodology is appropriate to the objectives and justifiable and methods are applied effectively	1	2	3	4	5
D. Discussion of the results is based on analysis of data, graphical representations are effective and accurate; conclusions are based on the findings and logically and clearly stated	1	2	3	4	5
E. Authenticity of the study is established; the supporting evidence is credible and available (appendices etc.).	1	2	3	4	5
F. Referred sources are acknowledged and the given referencing style is followed	1	2	3	4	5
G. Policy implications and recommendations are relevant and useful.	1	2	3	4	5
H. The paper provides foundation for future research	1	2	3	4	5
I. The article is well organized, follows the standard academic journal article form	1	2	3	4	5
J. The article is written in academic language, free from language errors	1	2	3	4	5
K. The article is of interest to the audience of this Journal and makes a significant contribution to the body of knowledge related to this Journal	1	2	3	4	5

2. Please indicate your recommendation

1	2	3	4
Accept without revision	Accept with minor revisions	Reconsider after major revisions	Not acceptable

3. Comments for the author: (Please present specific details under following categories)

i. Key strengths of the article to accept without revision:

ii. Minor weaknesses and suggestions:

iii. Major weaknesses and suggestions:

Signature of the Reviewer

Date: